UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,813	02/03/2004	Xueshi Yang	S01.12-1013/STL 11469.00	3979
27365 SEACATE TE	7590 11/29/2007	EXAMINER		
CHAMPLIN &	CHNOLOGY LLC C/O · KELLY P A	TRAN, KHAI		
SUITE 1400	. 12.5.5.5.1 , 1 . 1 . 1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
900 SECOND	AVENUE SOUTH	ARTONIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55402-3319	2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/771,813	YANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KHAI TRAN	2611	

		0044			
	KHAI TRAN	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	FR 41.31; or (3)		
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" 07.050 44.07 must be	fied within two month	on of the date of		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissai oi tr	e appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS	had a single to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalise		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filling a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TF helow):	coausc		
(a) M They raise new issues that would require further co	(12) (256 140)	TE DCIOW),			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	w, tter form for anneal by materially re	ducina or simplifyina	the issues for		
appeal; and/or	tter torit for appear by materially re	duding or ompmying			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):·				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	Il be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	ai and/or appellant ta	is to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Sec. Continuation Shoot	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		_		
13. Other:		Wanana KHAI TRAN	uth		
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2611			

Application No. 10/771,813

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new limitation "each equalizer in the bank of equalizers tuned to a different bit pattern" as recited in claim 1...

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts that the present invention is directed to a technique which reduces the total noise seen by the detector prior to detection, rather than compensating for the noise in the detector itself. However, the reduction of the total noise seen by the detector prior to detection is not recited in the claim.